

Region 3
Washington, DC 20407

JUN 23 1976

DD/A Registry File Bedg + G. 9

STA

STA

STA

Mr. John F. Blake
Deputy Director for Administration
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, DC 20505

Dear Mr. Blake:

Thank you for your letter dated June 14, 1976, relative to the
building located at
As you know, long range plans were to transfer subject building
to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation; therefore, funds
were not programmed to alter the building. At the time that your
agency elected to utilize the location, we pointed
out the deficiencies of the building. Your agency was also shown
space in a building meeting all safety criteria, however, the space
was rejected in favor of the location even though
you were advised that funds were not available for upgrading the
space to meet current safety requirements. It should also be
pointed out that General Services Administration, at its own
expense, relocated the two occupants of the building to make the
space available for assignment to Central Intelligence Agency (CIA)
with the understanding that CIA would fund for the necessary
alterations.

Under existing procedures, there is no way that we can make adjustments to the SLUC rate to compensate your agency for the monies expended in the renovation of the building. The only consideration that we can give is to retain the present quality rating of the building and to bill your agency at the lower SLUC tier rate.



7.4 JUN 1976

Mr. J. F. Galuardi Regional Administrator General Services Administration Region III 7th & D Streets, S. W. Washington, D. C. 20407

Dear Mr. Galuardi:

As you are undoubtedly aware, CIA has been designated as the executive agent to procure suitable space for the Intelligence Community Staff headquarters. Mr. Bush, Director of Central Intelligence, has chosen the building at ______, for this purpose. For the past few months, Agency representatives have been working in close coordination with the General Services Administration (GSA) in the preparation of plans for the reconfiguration of the building and to insure that it meets applicable security and safety standards.

As a result of the excellent cooperation received from GSA representatives, the plans for the renovation of the selected site are almost complete. However, several deficiencies have been discovered with respect to the Life Safety Code. I have been advised that a most serious pre-existing condition is the lack of an approved primary and alternate means of safe egress.

The Agency Safety Officer, in conjunction with our General Counsel, has advised me that, as I am the designated official under the provisions of paragraph 2 of Executive Order 11807, it is my responsibility to ensure the Agency complies with the Occupational Safety and Health Act and regulations issued pursuant thereto. Therefore, the lack of an approved alternate safe egress from the building troubles me greatly and I feel it necessary to draw your attention to this specific defect. I am sure that this deficiency will concern your agency as well, since under

STAT

the Federal Property Management Regulations GSA is responsible for providing a safe space that meets or exceeds the policy objective stated in 41 CFR 101-20.109-1.

I have been advised that the alterations necessary to meet both of our concerns fall within the provisions of 41 CFR 101-20.102. Thus the initial alterations and repairs necessary to protect building occupants would seem to fall within those responsibilities delegated to GSA. I also have been advised that such alterations would be included within the standard level of service which is included as part of the standard level user charges and would not be a reimbursable service under paragraph 41 CFR 101-20.105.

Inasmuch as I consider the correction of these defects to be of paramount importance, I have directed that all appropriate elements of the Agency be cooperative to any endeavor necessary to ensure that the design of the alternate egress is completed expeditiously. With respect to the funds necessary for construction, I suggest that CIA expenditures in this area be considered expenditures under the provisions of 41 CFR 101-21.402 and that the cost of such expenditures be offset against the Agency's standard level user charge. Since the cited paragraph requires your approval for such action, it is hereby requested. Your expeditious consideration of this request is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Signeds John F. Blake

John F. Blake
Deputy Director
for
Administration

Distribution:
Orig - Addressee
1 - OGC
2 - DDA sub;
1 - D/Sec

STATINTL

Acting Diffector of Security

Approved For Release 2002/14/04 - Chr Rope 9 100498 Add 0100130013-2

27 MAY 1976

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Deputy Director for Administration	
	FROM :	Acting Director of Security	STATINTL
ΓΑΤ	SUBJECT :	Lite Safety Code Requirements	
	REFERENCES :	(a) Memo to D/L from D/S, dtd 29 Mer 7 same subject	76,
		(b) Memo to DDA from D/L, dtd 13 May 3 subject: Selective Service Buildi	6. ing
STATINTL	Office of Logist tion of all noce	past several weeks, representatives of ve been working with of ics to insure proper planning and implements for to community (IC) Staff Headquarters.	the enta-
STATINTL STATINTL	fairly expensive located as remote On 19 May Branch, that Mr. Service, GSA Registible for determinating the second statement of the second statement o	ce (a) alerted the Director of Logistics specified in the Life Safety Code, for two-unit, two-hour fire-resistant staired from the existing stairway as possible informed Chief, Sa James Steele, Commissioner, Public Buildon III, had stated that he, Steele, is ining the adequacy of provisions for firmuildings. Mr. Steele is further reported the sees no economic feasibility for constairway in a building which is scheduled in five years. This latter information in reference (b). According to lers the existing external fire escape at means of egress from the building.	a way ole. afety dings responded as tructed for was
STATINTL	contacted Mr. Her	ent to the meeting with ary Huntt, Chief of Accident and Fire Pr III, relative to this advice from Nr. S	even- tcele.

OS 6 2322

S	TΑ	TI	N.	TI	L

Mr. Huntt stated that he personally had informed Mr. Steele that the present external fire escape on this building is substandard and does not meet the requirements of the GSA Life Safety Code. has determined that it does not meet the requirements of any other relevant code within the District of Columbia, the Federal Government, or any which are applicable strictly within the CIA.

- In view of the obvious dichotomy within GSA relative to this safety feature in subject building, I believe you should be aware of the responsibilities of the Agency and the possible options which may be exercised. You, as the designated Safety and Health Official, are the authority having jurisdiction within the Agency as specified in Chapter 3 of the Life Safety Code. As the authority having jurisdiction, you may exercise certain discretionary powers set out in Section 1-6111 of the Life Safety Code. This section states that if, in your opinion, fulfilling a provision of the Code would pose a practical difficulty or unnecessary hardship, then you may waive it in writing if an alternative has been established that clearly provides for the reasonable safety of the occupants of the facility. The current plans do not include the secondary required two-unit fire-protected stairway and no alternative has been provided. If Mr. Steele chooses to exercise in writing his prerogatives as interpreted by him, stating that the existing external fire escape is adequate, then you are relieved of your legal responsibility. If Mr. Steele does not assume this responsibility, then you, as the authority having jurisdiction, are responsible for providing the second means of egress or a suitable alternative. To date, the Office of Logistics and Safety Branch have been unable to devise any suitable alternative; therefore, it is recommended that the outside fire-protected stairway be constructed.
- 5. I realize the foregoing represents a bit of legalistic hairsplitting. However, I feel it is imperative that any final decision relative to matters of this import be made with the full understanding of the legal as well as the safety implications.

STATINTL			

Atts References

MNCLASSIFIED USE	ONLY	nn 2/44/07	[CONFIDENTIAL []	SECRET
Approved For R	BUTING	SAND	RECOR	D SHEET	
UBJECT: (Optional)				DD/A Registry	
STATINTL				File Blog + Gr	9
ROM:	- Luch		EXTENSION	NO.	
\	17			DATE	CT A TI
Acting Director of Sec	r			14 June 1976	STATI
O: (Officer designation, room number, and uilding)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to she to whom. Draw a line across column after	
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED			
DD/A 7D-24 Hdqs	14 JUN	1976	3/	This has been coordinated and of	with
2.				both OL and o	GC
3.				And I	
D/Security				For Hand Carry	
4.					
5.					
6.				1 4 JUN 1976	
				14/20.	
7.					
8.					
9.					
0.					
1,					
					:
2.					
3.					
4.					:
					·
RM 610 USE PREVIOUS SECRET				÷	